## Comparison between the objectives of the Structural Funds (2000-2006)

**Caption:** Comparison between the objectives of the Structural Funds for the period 2000-2006.

Source: CVCE.

Copyright: (c) CVCE.EU by UNI.LU

All rights of reproduction, of public communication, of adaptation, of distribution or of dissemination via Internet, internal network or any other means are strictly reserved in all countries. Consult the legal notice and the terms and conditions of use regarding this site.

## URL

 $http://www.cvce.eu/obj/comparison\_between\_the\_objectives\_of\_the\_structural\_fun\ ds\_2000\_2006-en-c39ef4ca-ead9-4774-a1bd-7093e209111e.html$ 



**Last updated:** 08/08/2016



## Comparison between the objectives of the Structural Funds (2000-2006)

	Objective 1	Objective 2	Objective 3
	Regions whose	Assistance for regions	Employment/ training
	development is lagging	facing structural	
	behind	difficulties	
Area	Region	Part of a region	State
Zoning	Statistical criterion;	Economic criteria (e.g.	No zoning
	per capita wealth	employment, density,	
		specific difficulties)	
Cap on Financing	75 %	50 %	50 %
Management	Decentralised; State/	Decentralised; State/	One part State, one part
	region partnership	region partnership (with	devolved
		exceptions, cf Alsace test)	
Funds employed	ERDF, ESF	ERDF, ESF	ESF
	EAGGF- Guidance, FIFG		
Types of projects	- Productive environment	- Productive environment	Training, employment
supported:	- Infrastructure	- Infrastructure	
	- Environment	- Environment	
	- Research, innovation	- Research, innovation	
	- Training, employment	- Training, employment	
	- Various	- Various	

