

Address given by Graham Watson on the achievements of the Luxembourg Presidency of the Council of the European Union (Brussels, 22 June 2005)

Source: Parlement européen, Rue Wiertz, 1047 Bruxelles - Belgique.

Conseil européen / Présidence luxembourgeoise 2005- Bruxelles: Parlement européen - Division de l'Audiovisuel

[Prod.], 22.06.2005. Parlement européen, Bruxelles. - VIDEO (00:07:50, Couleur, Son original).

Copyright: (c) European Parliament

URL:

http://www.cvce.eu/obj/address_given_by_graham_watson_on_the_achievements_of_the_luxembourg_presidency_of_t he_council_of_the_european_union_brussels_22_june_2005-en-7cf95a8e-4e5e-484e-91dd-7452533831ea.html

1/3

Publication date: 04/09/2012

04/09/2012



[Graham Watson] Thank you, Mr President. President-in-Office, if t he Luxembourg presidency has failed your expectations, I hope you will not blame yourself. President-in-Office, Liberals and Democra ts in this House applaud your attempts to keep the EU show on the road. You tried hard to strike the deals Europe needs. Your lack of success was not for lack of effort. Your final summit was doomed f rom the moment France voted to reject the Constitution. The triump halism of the no voters, however, will be short lived. In Greek tr agedy, actors often deepen their own plight while believing that th eir actions will help them to escape it. So is the case with the Fren ch oppositionnistes and the Socialists who were their cheerleaders. Their votes will bring to bear the very changes they most fear. At l east in Greek tragedy the audience is permitted to understand what is happening. That luxury is available to many of us only with hind sight some time after the referenda. I suspect that you, President-i n-Office, through your passionate commitment to the European caus e, which I welcome, were unable to stand back and look objectively at the impact on your presidency of the week of 29 May 2005. Had you done so you might have looked upon that week as a defining mo ment in the history of a European Union that will never be the sam e again. Hence your final summit was characterised not by the pock ets of resistance of which you spoke optimistically, but by a fight between two ailing dinosaurs, Britain and France. Unable to admit t hat national sovereignty is a luxury of the past, they persist in tryi ng to impose their order on others. Britain seeks a British Europe r ather than a European Britain. France is just as insular without the excuse of being an island. Both harbour a dangerous illusion, for t here can be only one Europe, truly European. That Europe must hav e the necessary funding to function. The insistence by some Membe r States on one per cent of GNI would deprive us of the Lisbon inv estment, as indeed your final proposal might have done. It is perha ps better to have no agreement and to re-think both how we raise m oney and how we spend it, than to reach agreement at any cost. But to those who seek to use these setbacks to re-establish an iron curt ain, I would quote Robert Schuman, who said in 1949: I have no in tention of drawing a geographical line of demarcation between Euro pe and non-Europe. There is another way of setting limits: that whi ch distinguishes those who have the European spirit and those who have not. President-in-Office, the Franco-German motor of Europe an integration on which your presidency relied is kaput. We need a nother motor to replace it. It must be neither British nor French. T he Union must recognise that it has moved on from reconciliation a nd security of food supply to other demands of a rapidly changing world: a world that will not wait for Europe. So do not allow this p ause for reflection to be a period of paralysis. Go home and make s ure you win your referendum. Then mobilise the other smaller state s to prevent the prehistoric predators from destroying what has bee n built. Take satisfaction from the achievements of your presidency : the agreement on the Euro-vignette for transport infrastructure ch arging; the action plan for The Hague Programme; the agreement on a European driving licence; and, with luck, even the elusive Membe Statute to demonstrate the maturity of this House. All of these mark good progress for the Union, and you may well conclude that you are left with more than just an overflowing ashtray. As Aristot le taught us, we may not always achieve our goals, but it is our pur

2 / 3 04/09/2012



suit of them that changes the course of history.

04/09/2012

3/3