

Inaugural address by Henry Morgenthau Jr (1 July 1944)

Caption: On 1 July 1944, Henry Morgenthau Jr, US Secretary of the Treasury, outlines the issues to be discussed at the International Monetary Conference opening in Bretton Woods (New Hampshire).

Source: Departement of State (Ed.). United Nations Monetary and Financial Conference: Bretton Woods, Final act and related documents, New Hampshire, July 1 to July 22, 1944. Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1944. 121 p. p. 3-6.

Copyright: United States of America Government Printing Office

URL: http://www.cvce.eu/obj/inaugural_address_by_henry_morgenthau_jr_1_july_1944-en-34c4153e-6266-4e84-88d7-f655abf1395f.html

Publication date: 02/12/2013

(CVCe

Address by the Honorable Henry Morgenthau, Jr., at the Inaugural Plenary Session (July 1, 1944)

Fellow Delegates and Members of the Conference:

You have given me an honor and an opportunity. I accept the presidency of this Conference with gratitude for the confidence you have reposed in me. I accept it also with deep humility. For I know that what we do here will shape to a significant degree the nature of the world in which we are to live — and the nature of the world in which men and women younger than ourselves must round out their lives and seek the fulfillment of their hopes. All of you, I know, share this sense of responsibility.

We are more likely to be successful in the work before us if we see it in perspective. Our agenda is concerned specifically with the monetary and investment field. It should be viewed, however, as part of a broader program of agreed action among nations to bring about the expansion of production, employment, and trade contemplated in the Atlantic Charter and in article VII of the mutual-aid agreements concluded by the United States with many of the United Nations. Whatever we accomplish here must be supplemented and buttressed by other action having this end in view.

President Roosevelt has made it clear that we are not asked to make definitive agreements binding on any nation, but that proposals here formulated are to be referred to our respective governments for acceptance or rejection. Our task, then, is to confer, and to reach understanding and agreement, upon certain basic measures which must be recommended to our governments for the establishment of a sound and stable economic relationship among us.

We can accomplish this task only if we approach it not as bargainers but as partners — not as rivals but as men who recognize that their common welfare depends, in peace as in war, upon mutual trust and joint endeavor. It is not an easy task that is before us; but I believe, if we devote ourselves to it in this spirit, earnestly and sincerely, that what we achieve here will have the greatest historical significance. Men and women everywhere will look to this meeting for a sign that the unity welded among us by war will endure in peace.

Through cooperation we are now overcoming the most fearful and formidable threat ever to be raised against our security and freedom. In time, with God's grace, the scourge of war will be lifted from us. But we shall delude ourselves if we regard victory as synonymous with freedom and security. Victory in this war will give us simply the opportunity to mold, through our common effort, a world that is, in truth, secure and free.

We are to concern ourselves here with essential steps in the creation of a dynamic world economy in which the people of every nation will be able to realize their potentialities in peace; will be able, through their industry, their inventiveness, their thrift, to raise their own standards of living and enjoy, increasingly, the fruits of material progress on an earth infinitely blessed with natural riches. This is the indispensable cornerstone of freedom and security. All else must be built upon this. For freedom of opportunity is the foundation for all other freedoms.

I hope that this Conference will focus its attention upon two elementary economic axioms. The first of these is this: that prosperity has no fixed limits. It is not a finite substance to be diminished by division. On the contrary, the more of it that other nations enjoy, the more each nation will have for itself. There is a tragic fallacy in the notion that any country is liable to lose its customers by promoting greater production and higher living-standards among them. Good customers are prosperous customers. The point can be illustrated very simply from the foreign-trade experience of my own country. In the pre-war decade, about 20 percent of our experts went to the 47 million people in the highly industrialized United Kingdom; less than 3 percent went to the 450 million people in China.

The second axiom is a corollary of the first. Prosperity, like peace, is indivisible. We cannot afford to have it scattered here or there among the fortunate or to enjoy it at the expense of others. Poverty, wherever it

(CVCe

exists, is menacing to us all and undermines the well-being of each of us. It can no more be localized than war, but spreads and saps the economic strength of all the more-favored areas of the earth. We know now that the thread of economic life in every nation is inseparably woven into a fabric of world economy. Let any thread become frayed and the entire fabric is weakened. No nation, however great and strong, can remain immune.

All of us have seen the great economic tragedy of our time. We saw the world-wide depression of the 1930's. We saw currency disorders develop and spread from land to land, destroying the basis for international trade and international investment and even international faith. In their wake, we saw unemployment and wretchedness — idle tools, wasted wealth. We saw their victims fall prey, in places, to demagogs and dictators. We saw bewilderment and bitterness become the breeders of fascism and, finally, of war.

In many countries controls and restrictions were set up without regard to their effect on other countries. Some countries, in a desperate attempt to grasp a share of the shrinking volume of world trade, aggravated the disorder by resorting to competitive depreciation of currency. Much of our economic ingenuity was expended in the fashioning of devices to hamper and limit the free movement of goods. These devices became economic weapons with which the earliest phase of our present war was fought by the Fascist dictators. There was an ironic inevitability in this process. Economic aggression can have no other offspring than war. It is as dangerous as it is futile.

We know now that economic conflict must develop when nations endeavor separately to deal with economic ills which are international in scope. To deal with the problems of international exchange and of international investment is beyond the capacity of any one country, or of any two or three countries. These are multilateral problems, to be solved only by multilateral cooperation. They are fixed and permanent problems, not merely transitional considerations of the post-war reconstruction. They are problems not limited in importance to foreign-exchange traders and bankers but are vital factors in the flow of raw materials and finished goods, in the maintenance of high levels of production and consumption, in the establishment of a satisfactory standard of living for all the people of all the countries on this earth.

Throughout the past decade, the Government of the United States has sought in many directions to promote joint action among the nations of the world. In the realm of monetary and financial problems this Government undertook, as far back as 1936, to facilitate the maintenance of orderly exchanges by entering into the Tripartite Agreement with England and France, under which they, and subsequently Belgium, the Netherlands, and Switzerland, agreed with us to consult on foreign-exchange questions before important steps were taken. This policy of consultation was extended in the bilateral exchange arrangements which we set up, starting in 1937, with our neighbors on the American continents.

In 1941, we began to study the possibility of international cooperation on a multilateral basis as a means of establishing a stable and orderly system of international currency relationships and to revive international investment. Our technical staff — soon joined by the experts of other nations — undertook the preparation of practical proposals, designed to implement international monetary and financial cooperation. The opinions of these technicians, as reported in the joint public statement which they have issued, reveal a common belief that the disruption of foreign exchanges can be prevented, and the collapse of monetary systems can be avoided, and a sound currency basis for the balanced growth of international trade can be provided, if we are forehanded enough to plan ahead of time — and to plan together. It is the consensus of these technical experts that the solution lies in a permanent institution for consultation and cooperation on international monetary, finance, and economic problems. The formulation of a definite proposal for a Stabilization Fund of the United and Associated Nations is one of the items on our agenda.

But provision for monetary stabilization alone will not meet the need for the rehabilitation of war-wrecked economies. It is not, in fact, designed toward that end. It is proposed, rather, as a permanent mechanism to promote exchange stability. Even to discharge this function effectively, it must be supplemented by many other measures to remove impediments to world trade.

For long-range reconstruction purposes, international loans on a broad scale will be imperative. We have in mind a need wholly apart from the problem of immediate aid which is being undertaken by the United Nations Relief and Rehabilitation Administration. The need which we seek to meet through the second proposal on our agenda is for loans to provide capital for economic reconstruction, loans for which adequate security may be available and which will provide the opportunity for investment, under proper safeguards, of capital from many lands. The technicians have prepared the outline of a plan for an International Bank for Postwar Reconstruction which will investigate the opportunities for loans of this character, will recommend and supervise them and, if advisable, furnish to investors guaranties of their repayment.

I shall not attempt here to discuss these proposals in detail. That is the task of this Conference. It is a task the performance of which calls for wisdom, for statesmanship, above all for good will.

The transcendent fact of contemporary life is this — that the world is a community. On battlefronts the world over, the young men of all our united countries have been dying together — dying for a common purpose. It is not beyond our powers to enable the young men of all our countries to live together — to pour their energies, their skills, their aspirations into mutual enrichment and peaceful progress. Our final responsibility is to them. As they prosper or perish, the work which we do here will be judged. The opportunity before us bas been bought with blood. Let us meet it with faith in one another, with faith in our common future, which these men fought to make free.